# Wind Energy Technology

Ellind Energy (Sci

## RNRF 12 Dec 2009

JP Lyons - Novus Energy Partners

### Wind Now Mainstream

- Booming market, \$50B industry growing at 25% CAGR
- GE \$8+B 2009 revenues, 10,000 1.5 machines installed
- Good US sites (8+ m/s) lowest COE of any new generation
- US 20+ GW's, 8.3 GW 2008, 42% new electricity
- GW scale projects in sight





Source: Emerging Energy Research









#### Capacity Factor = (Annual Yield MWHrs)/(24\*365\*Power Rating)



- 97+% Availability
- 40+% CF at IEC-II 8.5 m/s
- CF% +10 pts since 2000
- ~65 kWhr/kg tower top mass





### Large Scale Wind Penetration

25% wind penetrations can be accommodated

• Studies: DENA, NY, MN, CA, ERCOT, ...

Integration costs modest ~ \$3/MWHr

• Wind forecasting can reduce costs

Load following at light net load can be an issue Increased flexibility needed in generation portfolio

- Better ramping capability
- Low cost stop/start
- Lower minimum load

Large balancing area improves forecasting ~40%

- Diversity greatly reduces short-term variability
- Can improve forecasting up to 40%
- Reduces regulating reserve requirements > 50%



- ERCOT CREZ proactive plan to deliver wind power from West Texas and Panhandle
- Study up to 25GW wind power



## Wind Forecasting

#### Eltra, Denmark - 2000 Study

- 1.9GW onshore farms, 16% consumption
- 3.4TWh produced, 1.3TWh miscalculated (38%)
- Climatology-based forecast, inaccuracies up to 800MW
- \$12M imbalance payments (0.3c/kWh)



Advanced forecast using a combination of local statistical models, and 3D meso-scale climatology



#### **Current State-of-the-Art**

- Local statistical model + 3D climatology model 10-15% mean abs error for day-ahead and 5-10% error for 6 hr ahead forecasts
- 2005 regulations in **Spain** provide:
  - Penalties for >20% error on 24hr production forecast
  - Incentives for <10% error over rolling 4hr forecast
- 2003 **Cal ISO** regulations unbiased hourly, daily forecasts settlement monthly for net deviations at average rate
- Utilities need short (<6h), med (24-36h) and long term (>72h) forecasts





## Grid (Friendly) Integration

- Rapid evolution of Grid Codes
- Mimic thermal plants freq droop, var support
- Power electronics have changed wind technology from a detriment to an attribute
- ZVRT fault ride-thru to be required by FERC
- Reactive power control voltage regulation, VAR support w/o power
- Active power control ramp rates, power curtailment, power droop W/ frequency, virtual inertia
- Forecasting can significantly improve scheduling, penetration & integrated system performance



Active Power Ramp-Rate Control – GE/ESB Ireland

rau partners



#### Voltage Transient Ride-Thru Requirements



Colorado Green 220 kV Bus Voltage Regulation

### **New Innovation**



FloDesign Turbine Venturi + Mixer/Ejector (Mass)



Coriolis Wind VAWT (Israel)

#### **Offshore Wind**





## **Offshore Wind**







#### Offshore Technology Today

- 19 Projects, 900 MW Installed, shallow water
- 3 -4 MW upwind configuration
- 5-6 MW turbines in prototype
- 80 m towers
- Monopile & gravity foundations < 20m</li>
- Many challenges turbine only 1/3 project costs

#### Performance

- Great wind 9+ m/s
- Average 45+% capacity factor
- xx c/kwhr UK Thames Estuary site
- SOA 5 MW viable in UK market





### Gamechanger: US Offshore Wind



#### **US Offshore**

enerau partners

- $\cdot$  RPS in NY, Mass, Conn RPS will drive need for large scale renewables
- · Deeper water foundation technology & higher power more economic turbines needed



#### ConEd/LIPA planning offshore farm



- Great Lakes close to large load centers in US & Canada Toronto, Cleveland, Detroit, Chicago, Milwaukee, ...
- Lake Erie shallowest at 15-30 m

 Foundation technology 50-70 m would enable large deployment – need to withstand ice conditions

## **Offshore Technology**

#### **US Offshore Wind**

- Shallow (0-20m) limited US sites
- Turbine 20-30% total Installation costs staging, foundations, grid interconnect, O&M costs dominate
- Proactive environmental impact assessments & design mitigation strategies
- Support infrastructure needed vessels, dock side sites





#### **Technology Needs**

- 5-10 MW scale turbines
- Foundation technology 40-50m
- Hydrodynamic coupled design codes
- 10 c/kWhr achievable in shallow water
- Hurricane ride-thru







Horns Rev Electrical Service Platform

### Gravity Foundations - Nysted Rødsand



#### 72 foundations: 1300 tons + 500 tons ballast at depths of 7-12 m

- 37,000 m<sup>3</sup> of reinforced concrete
- 33,100 m<sup>3</sup> of ballast material (olivine, rock and sand)
- 22,500 m<sup>3</sup> of Scour Protection
- 53,000 m<sup>3</sup> of Dredging
- 6,800 m<sup>3</sup> of crushed stone (<64mm) for stone pad.

Source: http://www.aarsleff.com/internet/acms.nsf/Webpages/168241DB8B190997C1256D2B0029822E



### Offshore Machines – Is Bigger Better?

Manufacturer	Model	Power (MW)	Rotor (m)	Gear Stages	Nacelle Weight + Rotor (kg)	Generator	kg / kW	m <sup>2</sup> Cf / (%) k
Enercon	E112	4.5	112	0	440	WF Sync	97.8	44.0
Enercon	E120	6	120	0	440	WF Sync	73.3	41.6
Vestas	V90	3	90	3	108	DFIG	36.0	43.5
Vestas	NM110	4.2	110	3	214	DFIG	51.0	44.6
Vestas	V120	4.5	120	3	214	DFIG	47.6	46.5
GE	3.6sl	3.6	111	3	265	DFIG	73.6	47.9
Siemens/Bonus	3.6	3.6	107	3	200	Induction	55.6	46.4
Repower	5M	5	126	3	400	DFIG	80.0	46.3
Mutibrid	M5000	5	116	1	250	PM Sync	50.0	43.4



Vestas V90 3MW, 90m



Siemens Bonus 3.6 3.6MW, 107m



GE 3.6 MW 104/111m Vestas NM110/V120m 4.2/4.5 MW



\* planned upgrades

Enercon E-112/120m, 4.5/6MW



Prokon Nord 5MW, 116m



Repower 5M 5MW, 126m



### Deep(er) Water Foundations







Principle Power



Quad Jacket -Beatrice



Blue H



## Large Offshore Turbines

EU Upwind R&D Program 8-10MW Clipper Britannia 7.5-10 MW

#### 10 MW Concept

- 180 m rotor diameter
- Downwind 2 blade machine
- Direct drive
- Flexible compliant blades
- Flow control blades
- High rpm/tip velocity > 100 m/s
- Space frame structure
- Multivariable damping controls
- 40 m water depth foundation
- Hurricane ride-thru capability

Industry Contemplating 7-10 MW Offshore Machines



6 novus energy partners

## 20% US Wind Vision

- 2030 DOE, AWEA, 20% Energy Roadmap
- 300+ GW, 15% CAGR, 25 years
- \$60B investment in Transmission
- Benefits (2030):
  - 50% reduction NG electric gen
  - 18% reduction in coal gen
  - 7500 MMTCE cumulative carbon reduct
  - 17% water use reduction for west gener
  - 150,000 direct jobs created



#### Black & Veatch US Wind Supply Curve





US Wind Resource

U.S. Department of Energy National Renewable Energy Laboratory



### NREL – New Transmission



#### **Penalties for variable resources**

- Eliminate imbalance penalties
- Promote spot energy markets

#### **Balkanized electricity markets**

- Eliminate pancaked rates
- Develop RTO's to increase pooling
- Regional trading



### AEP – 765 kV Network Overlay





## Wind Energy Future ....

#### No fundamental barriers to achieving 20-30% electricity from wind

US & China have rich land based resources

#### Land based wind already economically viable

#### **RPS invaluable to initiate change**

#### Tech investments can have huge payoff

• 1 c/kWh COE worth \$12B/year in 2030

#### Grid integration technologies need investment

• forecasting, reserve, flexible gas turbines, smarter t&d

#### Offshore wind will be the end game with GW scale plants

- Need larger, lighter, higher tech turbines for marine environment
- Offshore infrastructure needs to be created



### Middlegrunden – Copenhagen Harbor





### Offshore in the Great Lakes

gy partners



### **The Offshore Projects**













### Offshore in the Great Lakes

gy partners

